

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MOI	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
ÖBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLÄND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314  AKT UNIT PAPER NUMBE 1633  NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MOI	10/534,538	10/31/2005	Yongzhi Xi	272331US0PCT	7166	
1940 DUKE STREET  ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE  1633  NOTERCATION DATE DELIVERY MOI			EXAM	EXAMINER		
AKT UNIT PAPER NUMBE  1633  NOTERCATION DATE DELIVERY MOI	1940 DUKE STREET			LONG, SCOTT		
NOTERCATION DATE DELIVERY MOI	ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1633	1633	
11/30/2009 ELECTRONI					ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,538	XI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SCOTT LONG	1633	

	SCOTT LONG	1633						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was fled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration tails of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the control of th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by  (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further core			cause					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	v); er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ected claims.						
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. \( \bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \( \bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) rejected: \( \frac{12.9}{2.0} \)  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
	/SCOTT LONG/ Examiner, Art Unit 1633							

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The applicant has submitted a 1.132 Affidavit for consideration. The examiner finds the submission of a 1.132 Affidavit after-final is not timely submitted. The Affidavit requires further consideration. Therefore, the applicant's proposed amendment is not entered. .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant has submitted 1.132 Affidavit. The examiner finds the submission of a 1.132 Affidavit after-final is not timely submitted. The Affidavit requires further consideration, Therefore, the applicant's proposed amendment is not entered.

The applicant's arguments seem to be based upon the 1.132 Affidavit. The untimely submitted 1.132 Affidavit has not been entered. Therefore, these arguments are moot.

Accordingly, all claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.